1		The Honorable Christopher M. Alston Chapter 7
2		Location: Seattle, Washington
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
10		EATTLE
11	In re:	
12	COLLEEN CHRISTENSEN,	Bankr. No. 16-15085-CMA
13		
14	Debtor.	
15	EDMUND J. WOOD, Trustee of the Estate of	Adv. Proc. No. 17-01072-CMA
16	Colleen Christensen,	
17	Plaintiff,	UNITED STATES' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
18	v.	
19		
20	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, on behalf of its agency, the DEPARTMENT OF THE	
21	TREASURY, and its bureau, the INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE,	
22	·	
23	Defendant.	
24		
25	The United States, on behalf of its agency the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS"), by and	
26	through its attorneys, Annette L. Hayes, United States Attorney for the Western District of	
2728	Washington, and Pooja Faldu Davé, Assistant Un	ited States Attorney, hereby answers the Complaint
40		

Edmund J. Wood, the Trustee of the Estate of Colleen Christensen ("Plaintiff") as follows: PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- Based on information and belief, admit. The allegation that the Trustee is authorized to bring this adversary proceeding is a legal conclusion that does not require a response. Nonetheless, the United States admits that the Trustee is authorized to bring this adversary
- Admit that this adversary proceeding arises in the Chapter 7 case of Debtor Colleen Christensen pending in this court. The remainder of the allegations in paragraph 4 are legal conclusions that do not require a response. Nonetheless, the United States admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding and that this proceeding is a core

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- Paragraph 5 does not contain factual allegations to which an answer is required.
- Admit, but submit that the IRS filed amended claims on May 18, 2017 [Claims
- Admit that Claim No. 5-3 includes penalties and interest, but submit that the interest
- The allegation in paragraph 8 is a legal conclusion that does not require a response.
- The allegation in paragraph 9 is a legal conclusion that does not require a response.
- The allegation in paragraph 10 is a legal conclusion that does not require a response.
- Admit, but submit that Claim No. 5-3 also includes a claim for an unfiled tax return for tax year 2015.
- 12. The allegations in paragraph 12 are legal conclusions that do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, denied.

26

27

28

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee in the Office of the United States		
3	Attorney for the Western District of Washington and is a person of such age and discretion as to be		
4	competent to serve papers;		
5	It is further certified that on this date, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the		
6	Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the		
7	following CM/ECF participant(s):		
8	Kathryn A Ellis kae@seanet.		
9	Edmund J Wood ewood1@aol.com		
10	I further certify that on this date, I mailed by United States Postal Service the foregoing		
11	document to the following non-CM/ECF participant(s)/CM/ECF participant(s), addressed as follows		
12			
13	-0-		
14	DATED this 16th day of June, 2017.		
15			
16	<u>/s/ Crissy Leininger</u> CRISSY LEININGER		
17	Paralegal Specialist		
18	United States Attorney's Office 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220		
19	Seattle, Washington 98101-1271		
20	Phone: 206-553-7970 E-mail: <u>christine.leininger@usdoj.gov</u>		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			